

Pharmacists Optimizing Cancer Care®

White Bagging Issue Brief

The recent growth in white and brown bagging has shifted the delivery of medications so that specialty medications are now dispensed from a third party, who is not affiliated with the provider. In a 2021 survey by Vizient, a health care performance improvement company, 92 percent of respondents reported experiencing problems with the medication received from white and brown bagging. In a 2023 study published by The Journal of the American Medical Association (JAMA), white bagging in oncology lowered payers' costs, but raised patients' out-of-pocket obligation.

- White bagging: Distribution of a patient-specific drug purchased through a specialty pharmacy and shipped directly to the provider's office or infusion clinic for administration.
- Brown bagging: Distribution of a patient-specific drug purchased through a specialty pharmacy and shipped directly to the patient who brings this drug to the provider's office for administration.
- Clear bagging: Similar to white bagging, drug is purchased through a health system's own specialty pharmacy, who delivers the drug to the provider's office or infusion site for administration.

Benefits of White Bagging

Insurers prefer white bagging as the drug is processed via the prescription benefit of patient insurance, which may reduce the cost paid by the insurer due to higher coinsurance or patient out of pocket responsibility. Institutions may save money as well since they will not have to buy the drugs directly.

Drawbacks of White Bagging

White bagging policies jeopardize patient safety and exacerbate supply chain security challenges. The provider/infusion center is responsible for all aspects of a patient's treatment, which includes care of the patient, development of a treatment plan, execution of the treatment, and frequent monitoring of treatment efficacy and toxicity. The introduction of white bagging requirements threatens a well-developed and robust care model.

HOPA is concerned that white bagging will remove a patient's autonomy to choose the care team that is best for them. If an institution does not accept white bagging when mandated by insurance, the patient may need to leave their care team for a new site or clinic. Patient safety is also a concern, as any prescription sent to a specialty pharmacy bypasses health-system EHR safety checks, which can result in a wrong medication or wrong dose being sent and given to a patient. This could happen if the product is not on the formulary, or if the staff is unfamiliar with the drug that they receive. White bagging can lead to delays in care if an insurer-designated pharmacy does not send the drug on time. If the drug is delayed, providers will have to adjust the entire care plan. This leads to a burden on patients who need transportation for appointments and who adjusted work schedules, with a particular impact on disadvantaged patients. Specialty pharmacies do not have replacement product if there are errors with reconstitution and/or mixing, and there may be inadequate stock for dosage adjustments. This delay in care may compromise patient outcomes, particularly in oncology. Combination therapies pose a challenge since drugs could be coming from multiple sources. If a provider changes the drug regimen, then they would need to get a new drug from the specialty pharmacy and the patient would own the unused drug, as it cannot be added back into inventory for use with another patient like supplies in a traditional infusion pharmacy model. This can lead to drug waste, as the product must be thrown away even if it was never used.

HOPA is also concerned about the impact of white bagging on supply chain integrity and proper storage of drugs. Once the product leaves the pharmacy, it is unclear who is responsible for proper handling, temperature, and expiration dates. Shipped medications may not be integrated into technology at site, which comprises safety checks. Drugs may arrive late, subject to logistics bottlenecks. Products are often left on shipping docks or outside front doors without any

notice and security. Under the Drug Supply Chain Security Act, documentation of the entire chain of custody is required, and white bagging is potentially a violation of this requirement. Furthermore, storage of the product requires additional space for patient-specific doses in the facility pharmacy, which can necessitate buying additional medical-grade refrigerators or freezers.

White bagging shifts the work and liability onto administering pharmacies without offering reimbursement for these services. It is challenging to coordinate scheduling, and to track drugs to ensure that they arrive on time for administration to the patient. Facilities can bill an administration fee, but there is no additional reimbursement. Without a corresponding drug charge, the administration fee may be denied by insurance payors. While there is an argument that these issues can be addressed through contracting, this will be a larger burden for smaller institutions who do not have the resources and opportunities to negotiate favorable contracts.

Impact on Cancer Patients

Due to the high proportion of anticancer medications that fall under specialty pharmacy distribution, HOPA's primary concern is that cancer patients are disproportionately at risk from negative outcomes when the white bagging process does not work effectively. Patients can be treated with the same drug and receive two different levels of care depending on the use of white bagging. If there are issues with receiving the drug from the specialty pharmacy, then a patient cannot start treatment; since anticancer drugs follow a scheduled regimen, this can delay the care schedule by several weeks. When the drug has a curative intent, any delay could lead to worse health outcomes for the patient. Delays have negative impact on not just a patient's physical health, but also their mental well-being. Patients also must deal with more of the administrative burden with white bagging, including co-pays, specialty pharmacy ordering, and restrictions from their insurance company.

Recommendations

While HOPA acknowledges that there are positive aspects to white bagging, we oppose the components identified in this issue brief that have a negative impact on patient care. In particular, we are concerned with the following areas:

- Additional burden to the patient, including both financial burden and additional time spent coordinating care.
- Delays in care that are caused by changes in medication regimen, supply chain issues, or delays in shipment of the drug.
- Patient safety issues caused by medication errors in transitions of care, unsafe handling, and/or improper storage of the drug.
- Requirements to use a specific pharmacy that favors the insurance payor but is not the preferred choice by the
 patient.

These issues must be addressed to ensure that white bagging does not negatively impact patient care.

^{1.} Vizient. Survey on the patient care impact and additional expense of white/brown bagging. Vizient, Inc. 2021. https://assets.senate.mn/committees/2021-2022/3095 Committee on Health and Human Services Finance and Policy/Vizient%20white%20bagging%20report%202021.pdf

^{2.} Shih YT, Xu Y, Yao JC. Financial Outcomes of "Bagging" Oncology Drugs Among Privately Insured Patients With Cancer. JAMA Netw Open. 2023;6(9):e2332643. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2023.32643